

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,352	01/26/2005	Manfred Korthauer	KORTHAUER 3 PCT 7972	
WILLIAM CO COLLARD & 1	ROE, P.C.	7	EXAMINER NGUYEN, ANTHONY H	
1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD ROSLYN, NY 11576			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2854	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/522,352	KORTHAUER, MANFRED	KORTHAUER, MANFRED		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Anthony H. Nguyen	2854			

	Anthony H. Nguyen	2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 November 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orightan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto.	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	` ,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	·		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-23 (as in the Final Rejection)</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	Dull	044 01/24	ulla

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 13. Other: The newly amended claim 21 which includes the limitations "a second drive" and "a control system for controlling the first drive and the second drive" raise new issues which would require further consideration and/or search and because various claims would be of a scope not previously presented.